State	*Aug'91-Sep'96*	
	Ho	*Investment (Rs. Crore)*
DADRA & NAGAR HAVELI	14	^.39
ARUNACHAL PRADESH	2	11.06 Q
JAMMU AND KASHMIR		*r*>-
DAMAN *A* DIU		3.72
MEGHALAYA		2.50
ASSAM		1.50
ANDAMAN *&* NICOBAR		0.98
TRIPURA		0.6*
LAKSHADWEEP		0.50
Total	5252	86.129.05

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b) Certain amendments in the Child Labour (Prohibition *&* Regulation) Act, 1986 were being comtemplated. With the announcement of the Common Minimum Programme of the present Government, which *inter-alia* seeks abolition of child labour from all occupations and industries, a fresh look on the earlier proposals in consultation with the State Governments has become necessary. State Government have, therefore, been requested to send their suggestions in the matter.

Allocation of Funds under the Centrally sponsored scheme for the Handicapped persons

1781. SHRI IQBAL SINGH: Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the Centrally sponsored scheme formulated by the Government for the handicapped persons in the country;

(b) if so, the allocation of funds during the years 1995-96 and 1996-97, Statewise;

(c) whether the funds provided by Government for the purpose were not fully utilised; and

(d) if so, tne reasons for non-utilisation of funds in the welfare of handicapped persons in the country?

THE MINISTER OF WELFARE (SHRI BALWANT SINGH RAMOOWALIA): (a) The Centrally Sponsored Scheme of Employment of the Handicapped aims to help the disabled persons for getting themselves registered for gainful employment either through Special Cells in normal Employment Exchanges or through Special Employment Exchanges for physically handicapped functioning in different States/UTs. The scheme is implemented through the State Government/UT Administrations. The extent of central financial assistance is 100% in the case of Special Cells and 80% in the case of Special Employment Exchanges.

(b) State/UT-wise allocation of funds under the above scheme are not made but the funds are released to State GovtsyUT Admns. on receipt of their vaiable proposals. Allocation under the

scheme during 1995-% and 1996-97 is Rs. 20 lakhs each year. During the years 1995-96 and 1996-97 State/UT-wise release of funds is indicated in the enclosed Statement *(See* below).

(c) and (d) Funds for the year 1995-96 were fully utilised. The financial year 1996-97 is not as yet over.

Statement

Allocation of funds under the Centrally Sponsored Scheme for the handicapped persons.

S.No. Name of Stat&UT	Amount Released (Rs in lakhs)	
	1995-96	1996-97 (upto Nov. 96)
1. Arunachal Pradesh	—	3.71
2. Manipur	3.59	—
3. Madhya Pradesh	1.55	—
4. Rajasthan	5.52	0.92
5. Tamil Nadu	0.35	—
6. Uttar Pradesh	3.89	—
7. Chandigarh	2.73	—
8. Delhi	2.37	—
Total	20.00	4.63

Violation of Cooperative Socities Act in the HMT units at Hyderabad

1782. SHRI DIPANKAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that Rs. five crores approximately recovered by HMT Management from salaries of employees of its Hyderabad units have not been credited so far in the HMT Employees Cooperative Credit Society Limited which is being run with participation of management;

(b) if so, the reasons thereof;

(c) whether the above act is not a violation of Cooperative Societies Act; and

(d) the action taken so far to pay the outstanding dues to the Society?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Severe financial crisis being faced by the lamp Unit.

(c) and (d) Non payment of dues to the society is not in conformity with the statutory provisions. Despite paucity of funds and non-avilability of working Capital, the following dues of the society Were paid by the Lamp Unit:—

Year	Rs. in Lakhs
1994-95	32.00
1995-96	61.27
1996-97 (upto date)	27.25

Scheme for prevention and control of Juvenile Social Mai adjustment in Blhai

1783. SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is implementing any Scheme for prevention and control of juvenile social maladjustment in the state of Bihar; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?